Anth 5010
Anthropological Theory
Spring 2020

Seminar: Tuesday 6:30 pm – 9:15 pm, NAH 114 (and ZOOM)
Instructor: Leilah VEVAINA, NAH 324, leilah.vevaina@cuhk.edu.hk, 3943 7716
Office Hours: Zoom by appointment

Course Description
This course introduces students to the history of anthropological theory. Starting with classic
texts on liberalism, we will begin our travel through time in the 17th century, ending the
semester with the “ontological turn.” We will begin with the liberal notion of civil society, as
a form of interdependence among what would otherwise be naturally independent
individuals. Then we examine alternative understandings of society that arose as critiques of
liberalism and went on to shape the three schools of anthropological thought that dominated
the discipline for most of the 20th century. The organization of this course is somewhat
chronological, but it is not meant to suggest a teleological progression. Instead, the course is
organized to show how ideas grow out of debates.

While these theoretical texts allow us to see the ways in which our ideas about society and
our places within them have shifted over time, and have shaped the discipline of
anthropology. Over the semester you are encouraged to connect these thinkers to other
ethnographies you are reading in other courses, and to the world around you.

Learning Outcomes
1. Students will acquire familiarity with major theoretical approaches in anthropology.
2. Students will have a better understanding of the relativity of perspectives.
3. Students will sharpen their analytical skills by observing how professional thinkers
   think.
4. Students will acquire tools for questioning common sense.

Required Readings
Readings will be posted on Blackboard, but I encourage you to get your own copy of

Resources
The following titles are not required reading, but they may be helpful in clarifying concepts
and ideas.

Moore, Jerry. 2012. Visions of Culture: An Introduction to Anthropological Theories
and Theorists. 4th edition. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press. (eBook available)

River, NJ: Prentice Hall. (Course reserve at UL)

Suggestions

The readings for this course are all original texts and some in difficult language. The most important thing is to try to get through them and ask questions about passages or references you do not understand. Attendance and participation in the course is crucial.

To help you focus your reading, in the first class you will be given some problematics to track through the readings for the semester. This will help you to navigate these sometimes complex texts and, if done throughout the course, will make the short essays very easy to write.

Problematics and themes to track:

- individual and society
- the body/embodiment
- solidarity/conflict
- power/authority/the State
- materiality/humans-nonhumans
- history/time

Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Item</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Essay 1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>February 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Essay 2</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>March 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Paper</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>May 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance and Participation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>(live and Zoom)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assignments

1. **Short Essay 1**: Write a short paper (1000 words) comparing how issues based on your chosen problematic are treated in two or more of the texts we have read to that point. Due February 21. Times New Roman, 12pt font. Double Spaced.

2. **Short Essay 2**: Write a short paper (1500 words) comparing how issues based on your problematic are treated in three or more of the texts we have read to that point. Due March 20. Times New Roman, 12pt font. Double Spaced.

3. **Final Paper**: Your final paper (~3000 words) should trace the transformations of your problematic through the course readings using at least 5 authors, and will count for 40% of your final grade. Due May 8. Times New Roman, 12pt font. Double Spaced.

All assignments must be submitted to VeriGuide. Assignments without a signed declaration from Veriguide will not be graded.
Week 1 (January 7)
Introductions

No readings

Week 2 (January 14)

Foundations of Society

John Locke, *Two Treatises of Government*, Bk. 2 Chapters. 1,5, 7 skim other chapters. (1689)


Week 3 (January 21)

Marx, Engels and Weber (ways of understanding history and change)


Week 4 (January 28) Holiday

No Readings

(February 4)

NO CLASS

(February 11)

NO CLASS

Week 5 (February 18)

Social Solidarity


Émile Durkheim, *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life*, Intro., Bk. 1 Chapters. 1 & 4

Marcel Mauss, excerpts from *The Gift*, in *Anthropological Theory: An Introductory History* (1925) (pp.90-102).
Week 6 (February 25)

Early Anthropology – Understanding Difference


Lewis Henry Morgan, “Ethnical Periods,” (1877), in *Readings for a History of Anthropological Theory*

Franz Boas, “The Methods of Ethnology” (1920), in *Readings for a History of Anthropological Theory*

(Short Essay 1 Due February 21)

Week 7 (March 3)

Structure and Function (British Social Anthropology)

Radcliffe-Brown, *Structure and Function in Primitive Society*, Introduction & Chapter 1 (1952)

Meyer Fortes and E. E. Evans-Pritchard, Introduction to *African Political Systems* (1940), in *Readings for a History of Anthropological Theory*

Week 8 (March 10)

The Social Subject (American Cultural Anthropology)

Margaret Mead, Introduction to Coming of Age in Samoa, in *Readings for a History of Anthropological Theory* (1928)


Week 9 (March 17)

Structuralism

Marcel Mauss, “A Category of the Human Mind: The Notion of Person; the Notion of Self”, (1938)


(Short Essay 2 Due March 20)

Week 10 (March 24)
Post Structuralism, Power and Practice


Michel Foucault, “Docile Bodies” in *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison* (1975)

Week 11 (March 31)
Symbolic and Interpretive Anthropology


Clifford Geertz, “Thick Description: Toward an Interpretive Theory of Culture” and “Deep Play: Notes on the Balinese Cockfight,” in *The Interpretation of Cultures* (1973), in *Readings for a History of Anthropological Theory*

Week 12 (April 7)
Anthropology and the Encounter

Asad, Talal, “Anthropology & the colonial encounter” Introduction. (1973), in *Readings for a History of Anthropological Theory*


Week 13 (April 14)
Gender and Power


Week 14 (April 21)

Writing Culture


Week 15 (April 28)

The Ontological Turn and New Materialisms


Final Exam Due May 8
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Criteria for 1) the course and 2) for coursework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A     | 1) Outstanding performance on all learning outcomes.  
       | 2) The work has creatively synthesized course materials and key ideas in an original way. The argument is logical and cohesive, the discussion is well-organized, and the writing is clear. The work goes beyond merely summarizing key ideas, using original texts in a strategic way. The work differentiates between the position of the author versus the position(s) the author wishes to challenge. Concrete evidence corresponds to statements and claims. |
| A-    | 1) Generally outstanding performance on all (or almost all) learning outcomes.  
       | 2) The work synthesizes course materials and key ideas in an original way, but there are areas for improvement. |
| B-range | 1) Substantial performance on all learning outcomes, OR high performance on some learning outcomes which compensates for less satisfactory performance on others, resulting in overall substantial performance.  
       | 2) The work demonstrates a solid grasp of course materials and key ideas. There are areas for improvement with respect to building a cohesive argument, organizing the discussion, communicating clearly, and/or identifying relevant evidence. There is some confusion over what position the author has taken versus the position(s) the author wishes to challenge. |
| C-range | 1) Satisfactory performance on the majority of learning outcomes, possibly with a few weaknesses.  
       | 2) The work shows some effort, but course materials have not been sufficiently engaged or the paper fails to directly address the prompt. The argument and the writing is not clear. |
| D-range | 1) Barely satisfactory performance on a number of learning outcomes.  
       | 2) The work shows little effort to engage course materials. There are major problems with clarity of argument and writing. |
| F     | 1) Unsatisfactory performance on a number of learning outcomes, OR failure to meet specified assessment requirements.  
       | 2) The work has failed respond to the assignment prompt. |
POLICIES

Participation

Participating in class is extremely important. Asking questions and making comments not only contributes to generating a class discussion, it is also a way of trying out ideas and working through the material for your own sake. Getting comfortable with speaking up in class is important in learning how to think. Us professors are not here to “transmit” ideas to you. We are not here to “pour” content into your brain. We would like for you to go beyond regurgitation of course materials in your written assignments, and learning to contribute to class discussion, learning to integrate multiple perspectives during class discussion, will help you strengthen your independent thinking skills. I fully encourage questions and comments, because your questions and comments will serve both the class as a community, as well as yourself.

Opinions vs. Arguments

There is a difference between opinion and argument. Your personal viewpoints are welcome during class discussion, but your papers will be evaluated based upon your ability to formulate an argument rather than your ability to express an opinion.

An argument is analytical. Arguments propose relationships between variables, and they support assertions being made with empirical evidence – either ethnographic data, or, in the case of studying theory, passages from original texts that illustrate how a particular theorist formulated an idea.

There is also a difference between opinion and perspective. An opinion usually contains some kind of judgment about how the world should or should not operate. A perspective, on the other hand, is a way of looking at the world. In other words, having perspective involves the ability to see patterns and themes, or differences and divergences, which may not be obvious at first glance. Having an opinion is easy. Having the insight that comes with perspective is much harder.

Late Submissions

Papers submitted after the due date will suffer a fraction of a grade deduction for every day it is late. For example, an A- will become a B+, a B will become a B-, et cetera.

Academic Honesty

The Chinese University of Hong Kong places very high importance on academic honesty, and adopts a policy of zero tolerance on cheating in examinations and plagiarism. Any such offence will lead to disciplinary action including possibly termination of studies at the University. Students should know how to properly use source material and how to avoid plagiarism. Students should read the detailed guidelines and examples for the acknowledgement of sources in the University’s website at http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty. Students are required to submit all papers through VeriGuide, which is also explained at the above website.